



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/520,449

07/07/2005

Yukinori Kita

SONYJP 3.3-380

9772

530 7590 02/03/2011
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

EXAMINER

ADEGEYE, OLUWASEUN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2481

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/03/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/520,449	Applicant(s) KITA ET AL.	
	Examiner OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE	Art Unit 2481	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/17/2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 2, 4 - 7, 9 - 12, 14 - 17 and 19 - 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 2, 4 - 7, 9 - 12, 14 - 17 and 19 - 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01/06/2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/17/2010 with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re page 8, applicants argue that none of the cited references disclose displaying a logo indicating that a controller carries out a process for changing a set broadcast time period of a desired program, when the predetermined word is included in the character string.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The Hatano reference clearly discloses displaying a logo indicating that a process for changing a set broadcast time period of a desired program has been carried out (see column 13 line 62 – column 14, line 11. The above cited column discloses a numeral 84 (logo) that is included in the contents list screen of fig 14B whenever a broadcast time period is changed because of the inclusion of a program (special program).

The Mukai reference on the other hand discloses recognizing a predetermined word in a character string whenever there is a change in the broadcast time period (see [027] and [028]. "..... when timer-controlled video recording is set on a time-series data recording device, there may be the case where broadcast time is changed due to extension of a program precedent to a desired program for such timer-controlled video recording. In this case, some announcements to inform thereof should be made presumably. Therefore, it is satisfactory if setting time for the timer-controlled video recording is changed on the basis of such announcement information" – [027]) and

Art Unit: 2481

Meanwhile, it is possible to recognize the predetermined keyword by use of at least one piece of information out of voice information, caption text data, image data, and the like, which are included in the broadcast information and the like” - [028]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 - 2 and 4 - 7 and 9 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatano (US 6,951,031 B2) in view of Mukai et al (US 2003/0152360 A1).

As to **claim 1**, Hatano discloses a program recording apparatus which obtains an electric program guide and receives and records a desired program by using the obtained electric program guide (see column 1, lines 29 – 32 and column 5, line 65 – column 6, line 16), comprising:

a setting unit operable to set a recording of the desired program based on at least a broadcast time period and a broadcast channel (see column 5, line 65 – column 6, line 16);

Hatano discloses wherein the controller does not change the set broadcast time period when the termination point of the new time period is later than a starting point of another set broadcast time period (see column 1, lines 43 – 50).

Hatano discloses a controller that time-extends the set broadcast time period until the starting point of the another set broadcast time period to record as well as not overlapping another set time to record a program (see column 16, lines 4 – 12 and lines 40 – 50).

Hatano discloses the controller being operable to time-extend a termination point of the broadcast time period in response to a value of the discriminated number sequence, in a range not overlapping another set broadcast time period (see column 16, lines 4 – 12 and lines 40 – 50).

Hatano discloses a logo indicating that a process for changing a set broadcast time period of a desired program has been carried out (see column 13 line 62 – column 14, line 11. The above cited column discloses a numeral 84 (logo) that is included in the contents list screen of fig 14B whenever a broadcast time period is changed because of the inclusion of a program (special program).

However Hatano does not disclose a searching means unit operable to search a character string in a predetermined region of the electric program guide including the set broadcast time period and broadcast channel; and

a controller operable to change said the set broadcast time period to a new time period when a predetermined word is included in the character string.

Mukai discloses a searching means unit (14) operable to search a character string in a predetermined region of the electric program guide including

Art Unit: 2481

the set broadcast time period and broadcast channel (see [027], [028] and [045].

The response to applicants arguments above highlights said portions of paragraphs 27 and 28); and

a controller (1) operable to change said the set broadcast time period to a new time period when a predetermined word is included in the character string (see [027] and [056]. The response to applicants arguments above highlights said portions of paragraphs 27.).

the searching unit being operable to discriminate a number sequence subsequent to the predetermined word when the predetermined word is searched (see [056].”... Accordingly, the detecting process should be performed from just a certain period precedent to the time point of starting the timer-controlled video recording, in other words, from a given time period T (a period relevant to a storage capacity of a buffer memory) precedent to the time point of starting the timer-controlled video recording.”[056]), and

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the searching unit and the controller of Mukai to the apparatus of Hatano to be able to properly detect a change in recording time whenever a change has occurred.

As to **claim 2**, Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said the predetermined word is "extension" (see [027] and [56].” Upon timer-controlled video recording, there may be a case when broadcast time is changed because of extension of a program precedent to a desired program for timer-controlled video recording or because of insertion of

Art Unit: 2481

special newscast or the like. In such a case, time schedule set in the timer needs to be changed”).

As to **claim 4**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1. However Hatano does not disclose wherein the controller is operable to time-extend the termination point of the set broadcast time period to record by a predetermined amount when the number sequence subsequent to the predetermined word cannot be discriminated.

Mukai discloses wherein the controller is operable to time-extend the termination point of the set broadcast time period to record by a predetermined amount when the number sequence subsequent to the predetermined word cannot be discriminated (see [075] and [076]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the searching unit and the controller of Mukai to the apparatus of Hatano to be able to properly detect a change in recording time whenever a change has occurred.

As to **claim 5**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1. Hatano discloses wherein the controller does not change the set broadcast time period to record to the new time period to record when the new time zone overlaps with the another of set broadcast time period to record (see column 16, lines 4 – 12 and lines 40 – 50).

As to **claim 6**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 5. Hatano discloses wherein, when the set broadcast time period to record is not changed, the controller causes a display to

Art Unit: 2481

be made indicating that the set broadcast time period to record was not changed in addition to a display of the desired program set for recording (see column 13, line 56 – column 14, line 11).

As to **claim 7**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1. Mukai discloses wherein the setting unit further sets the change from the set broadcast time period to record to the new time period to record when the predetermined word is included in the character string (see [056]). The entire paragraph discloses changing the broadcast time period to record). See motivation above.

As to **claim 9**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1. However Hatano does not disclose wherein the controller changes the set broadcast time period to record to the new time period to record just before the desired program recording starts when the predetermined word is included in the character string.

Mukai discloses wherein the controller changes the set broadcast time period to record to the new time period to record just before the desired program recording starts when the predetermined word is included in the character string (see [056]). The entire paragraph discloses changing the broadcast time period to record). See motivation above.

As to **claim 10**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1. Hatano discloses wherein the controller does not change the set broadcast time period to record to the new time period to record when the program recording apparatus would have an insufficient capacity at the

Art Unit: 2481

new time period (see column 16, lines 40 – 50. “In the above-mentioned explanations, if there is free space in the record medium and the broadcast time is changed, unless a broadcast time band of another program which is programmed for recording and viewing does not overlap”).

As to **claims 11 – 12, 14 – 17 and 19 - 20**, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1 – 2, 4 – 7 and 9 – 10 respectively. Therefore, claims 11 – 12, 14 – 17 and 19 - 20 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1 – 2, 4 – 7 and 9 – 10 respectively.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE whose

Art Unit: 2481

telephone number is (571)270-1711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter-Anthony Pappas can be reached on 571-272-7646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

01/27/2011

/O. A. A. /
Examiner, Art Unit 2481

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2481